

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/622,935	KRELLER, HELMUT	
	Examiner Ernesto Garcia	Art Unit 3679	

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Ernesto Garcia (3) _____

(2) Mr. Raffaele DeMarco. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 December 2007

Time: 11:50AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

2, 17, 21, and 24

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner presented language to make clear how the length of the spacer profile of the second coupling element is being compared relative to the maximum projecting length of the first coupling unit, which appear in claims 21 and 24. The examiner also called to correct minor formalities with claims 2, 17, 21, and 24. In particular, the recitation "corner" was missing from claims 2 and 17. Mr. DeMarco agreed to the changes as presented in the examiner's amendment. .